

Page 5


LONDON BOROUGH OF BARNET EARLY HELP

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

CAFs Open at Month End		YTD	August	Ι
	CAFs started in the month	712	102	
	Number of CAFs st	arted this N	1onth	



1,000



August

78

July


123



Open CAF durations							N	0.	%	
0-3 mths								0. 12	34.1%	
3- 6 mths							_	12 68	27.0	
6-9 mths	-	32	27.0%							
9-12 mths	_	73	11.7	-						
>1 Year	-	, s 37	5.9							
CAF Closure Reason/Success Rating (Sep	+ 2018	`					-	,,	5.9	70
n=75		ot vant	Partia	lly Met						
Needs Met/Action Plan Completed	27	36%	0	0%	0	0%	12	16%		
Child Reached 18 Years of Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%		
Child Moved to Another Authority	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%		
CAF not started	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%		
Consent Withdrawn/Family Disengage from CAF Process	2	3%	1	1%	1	1%	8	11%		
Signposting/Advice Offered	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	3	4%		
Stepped Up to Social Care	2	3%	8	11%	1	1%	2	3%		
CAF by Lead Professional by Month					Se	ept	August		July	
CAF Team Barnet					8	7	1	32	157	
Children's Centre					9	1	1	22	130	
Health					(C		0	0	
Primary School					13	20	1	03	101	
Secondary School					4	3	4	18	48	
Special School (inc. PRU)					1	3	1	L4	14	
Voluntary					1	2		8	13	
0-19					(C	0		0	
Other					2	56	213		230	

Number of CAFs Closed this Month

Early Help, Early Years & Troubled Families



LONDON BOROUGH OF BARNET TROUBLED FAMILIES PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018) Attachments & Claims Aug Total families attached 3,251 Overall progress to 2220 attachments 100% Troubled Families performance is showing progress as we approach the final 18 months of the programme. We have now attached Total families claimed for 1122 3,251 families since the programme started in September 2014, and achieved significant & sustained progress or continuous Overall progress to 2220 claims 50.5% employment with 1,122 of those families (51% of our target). In order to achieve a 100% target by March 31st 2020 and turn around 2220 families we need 50 claims a month in 2018/19 and 60 claims a month in 2019/20. Potential claims in the system ready for audit 20

Family composition	Barnet	Nearest Neighbour Avg.	National Avg.
Avg. number of individuals per family	4	3.9	3.9
Avg. number of children per family	2.2	2.1	2.2
Avg. number of adults per family	1.7	1.8	1.7

Age			
Avg. age of individuals in family	21	21.3	20.6
Avg. age of children in family	9.1	9.1	9.1
Avg. age of adults in family	36.6	35.8	35.6

Gender			
Individuals who are female	52.0%	51.0%	45.0%
Individuals who are male	48.0%	44.0%	40.0%

Ethnicity			
Individuals who are BAME	19.0%	49.0%	19.0%

Data Comments: On average our families have 4 family members, including 2.2 children and 1.7 adults. We have slightly more family members than the national and nearest neighbours average.

The average age of our family members is 21 years old. The average age of our children is 9.1 years old. We have slightly more females in our family makeup than males, and 19% of our families are BAME, compared to 49% for our nearest neighbours.

Troubled Families	- Service Areas
TF attachments - CAF	41%
TF attachments – CIN	46%
TF attachments – CP	13%

Early Help, Early Years & Troubled Families




Chart 3 - Troubled Families - Employment Outcomes

Families with continuous employment claims	40 (3.7%)		
Families with progress to work (17/18)	44 (4.3%)		
Data Comments : Despite being one of the best performing areas for achieving significant & sustained progress we are one of the worst areas nationally for achieving work outcomes. We are improving both our recording of work outcomes and the work we do to support lead professionals who have family members out of work. We have made 4 back to work claims in the last audit window, and continue to drive this area.			

STRAT DISCUSSIONS, S47'S & ICPCs

Strategy Discu	ssion Involvements:	rD*	Septe	100 -			Num	ber of s	S47's (Complet	ed in M	1onth					
Number of Meeting	s Recorded as Completed	2	94	5	3							•					
Social Care	Number and Rate Invited	294	100%	53	100%	80 -											
Social Care	Number and Rate Attended	294	100%	53	100%	60 -					1						1
Police	Number and Rate Invited	277	94%	52	98%	1											
Police	Number and Rate Attended	242	87.4%	50	96%	40 ·					/						
Health	Number and Rate Invited	242	82.3%	43	81%	20 -	+										
Health	Number and Rate Attended	228	94.2%	41	95.3%	0.						_					_
Education	Number and Rate Invited	171	58.2%	33	62.3%	0.	Apr	May	Jun J	ul Au	ug Se	ep Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Ma
Education	Number and Rate Attended	157	91.8%	33	100.0%	■17/18	33	91	68 !	55 2	4 53	3 85	95	93	91	67	7:
*YTD Period Begins April 18						■18/19		88		32 6		4					
		No.						Nume		ilduan	auhia				1	-	
Number of S47's in Mo	onth	54	1	45	Т			NUMD	ber of Ci	illaren	subje	ct to IC					
			_	40 35	+												
Percentage of Section	47s leading to:	No.	%	30												/	-
Continue with C&F	-	30	55.6%	25 20						/			·				
Progressing to an ICPC		17	31.5%	20 15													-
		-													/		
Legal Action		0	0.0%	10													
Legal Action Continue with CIN/CP/CLA		0		10 5													
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA	e	-	0.0% 1.9% 11.1%			May Jur				Son	Oct	Nov	Dec			Fab	
5	e	1	1.9%	5	Apr	May Jur			-	Sep	Oct 34	Nov 32	Dec	Jai		Feb	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA	e	1	1.9%	5 0 17/18	4	May Jur 12 21 31 19	2	2	5	Sep 35 17	Oct 34	Nov 32	Dec 17	Jai 10		Feb 21	Ma 40
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfa	e	1 6	1.9% 11.1%	5	4	12 21	2	2	5	35				_			
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team	re	1 6 No.	1.9% 11.1%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21	2	2	5	35		32		10			
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team Duty & Assessment Team 1	re	1 6 No. 18	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19	2	2 6 Currer	5 31	35	34	32	17	10 Date		21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2	re	1 6 No. 18 1	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19	2	2 6 Currer	5 31 nt Month	35 17	34 Nur	32 Ye	17 ear to D	10 Date	0	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3		1 6 No. 18 1 11	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19	2 1 Numbe	2 6 Currer er 17	5 31 nt Month %	35 17 Group	34	32 Ye mber	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4	L	1 6 No. 18 1 11 4	1.9% 11.1% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1	2 1 Number 3	2 6 Currer er 17 0.	5 31 nt Month % 7.6%	35 17 Group	34	32 Ye mber 27	17 ear to D % 18.9%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 3	 	1 6 18 1 1 11 4 3	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1	2 1 Numbe 3 0	2 6 Currer er 17 0. 17	5 31 % 7.6% .0% 7.6%	35 17 Group	34	32 Ye mber 27 3	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Section 47 by Team Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 3	L 2 3 4	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4	2. Number 3 0 3 1 0	2 6 currer 17 0. 17 5. 0.	5 31 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	35 17 Group	34 Nur 2	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2	1.9% 11.1% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3	2 Numbe 3 0 3 1 0 2	2 6 Currer er 17 0. 17 5. 0. 11	5 31 ht Month % 7.6% .0% .9% .0% .8%	35 17 Group	34	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13 7	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 0 2 5	1.9% 11.1% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4	2. Number 3 0 3 1 0	2 6 Currer er 17 0. 17 5. 0. 111 5.	5 31 ht Month % 7.6% .0% 7.6% .9% .0% 8% 9%	35 17 Group	34	32 Ye mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5%	Date	0 Grou	21	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 1 1 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0	1.9% 11.1% 9% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	2 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 1	2 6 8 9 17 0. 17 5. 0. 11 5. 5. 5.	5 31 % 7.6% .0% 7.6% .9% .0% 8% 8% .9% .9%	35 17 Group - 35.3%	34	32 Ye mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5 7	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9%	Date	0 Grou - 25.29	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 6	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 0 2 5 0 0 2	1.9% 11.1% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2 0 1 1 0 3 1 0 2 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 6 8 17 0. 17 5. 0. 11 5. 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	5 31 Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .8% .9% .9% .0%	35 17 Group	34	32 mber 27 3 9 4 4 13 7 5 5 7 5	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5%	Date	0 Grou	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 1 1 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0	1.9% 11.1% 9% 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2 1 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0	2 6 Currer er 177 0. 177 5. 0. 111 5. 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	5 31 11 Month % .6% .0% .9% .0% .8% .9% .9% .0% .0%	35 17 Group - 35.3%	34	32 mber 27 3 9 9 4 13 7 5 5 7 5 7	17 ear to D % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9%	Date	0 Grou - 25.29	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 9 Intervention & Planning Team 9 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 2 2	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7%	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	2 1 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1	2 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5 31 mt Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .8% .9% .9% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0	35 17 Group - 35.3%	34	32 mber 27 3 9 9 4 4 13 7 5 5 7 5 7 10	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0%		0 Grou - 25.29	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 O-25 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5	1 6 18 1 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% 3.7% Children	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2 1 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	2 6 Currer 2 17 0, 17 5, 0, 111 5, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	5 31 mt Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .8% .9% .9% .0% .0% .0% .0%	35 17 Group - 35.3%	34	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5 7 5 7 10 11 11	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7%		0 Grou - 25.29	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 5 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 7 O-25 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs 12	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% Children 17	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	2 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 6 Currer 2 17 0, 17 5, 0, 111 5, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	5 31 Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .8% .9% .9% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	35 17 Group - 35.3% 17.6%	34 Nur 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5 7 5 7 10 11 7	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7% 4.9%	Date	0 Grou 25.29 23.89	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 9 Intervention & Planning Team 9 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs 12 No.	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% Children 17 Rate	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	2 1 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	2 6 Currer 2 17 0, 17 5, 0, 111 5, 5, 0, 0, 0, 5, 0, 0, 0, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 111 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	5 31 Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0	35 17 Group - 35.3%	34	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5 7 5 7 10 11 7 8 2	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7% 4.9% 5.6%	Date	0 Grou - 25.29	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 6 Intervention & Planning Team 7 0-25 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs 12	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% Children 17	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	2 1 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 2	2 6 Currer 17 0. 17 5. 0. 111 5. 5. 0. 0. 0. 5. 0. 0. 0. 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 121 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	5 31 Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .0% .9% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0	35 17 Group - 35.3% 17.6%	34	32 mber - 27 - 3 - 9 - 4 - 13 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 10 - 11 - 7 - 8 -	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7% 4.9% 5.6%	Date	0 Grou 25.29 23.89	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 2 Intervention & Planning Team 3 Intervention & Planning Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 9 Intervention & Planning Team 9 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs 12 No.	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% Children 17 Rate	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14	2 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 2 1 1	2 6 Currer 17 0. 17 5. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 0. 0. 111 5. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 111 5. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	5 31 % 7.6% .0% .6% .9% .0% .8% .9% .0% .9% .8% .9%	35 17 Group - 35.3% 17.6%	34	32 mber 27 3 9 4 13 7 5 7 5 7 10 11 7 8 8 4 4	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7% 4.9% 5.6% 5.6% 2.8%	Date	0 Grou 25.29 23.89	21 p %	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA Other Agency to monitor welfar Duty & Assessment Team 1 Duty & Assessment Team 2 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 3 Duty & Assessment Team 4 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 7 Intervention & Planning Team 9 Intervention & Planning Team 9 CAF Team	L 2 3 4 5 5 7	1 6 18 1 11 4 3 6 0 0 2 5 0 2 2 5 0 2 2 2 Confs 12 No.	1.9% 11.1% % 33.3% 1.9% 20.4% 7.4% 5.6% 11.1% 0.0% 3.7% 9.3% 0.0% 3.7% 3.7% Children 17 Rate	5 0 17/18	4	12 21 31 19 Under 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	2 1 Number 3 0 3 1 0 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 2	2 6 Currer 17 0. 17 5. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 5. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 11 5. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	5 31 Month % 7.6% .0% .0% .0% .0% .9% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0	35 17 Group - 35.3% 17.6%	34	32 mber - 27 - 3 - 9 - 4 - 13 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 10 - 11 - 7 - 8 -	17 % 18.9% 2.1% 6.3% 2.8% 9.1% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 3.5% 4.9% 7.0% 7.7% 4.9% 5.6%		0 Grou 25.29 23.89	21 p % %	

17

143

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

$\mathsf{A}\,\mathsf{S}\,\mathsf{S}\,\mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{S}\,\mathsf{S}\,\mathsf{M}\,\mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{S}$

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

40%


30%


20%


10%

0%


Assessments	No.	%	
All Assessments Completed in Month	277	-	6
Children seen during Assessment	264	95.3%	_
Percentage of Assessments Completed	218	78.7%	5
vithin 45 Working Days -25 days	137	49.5%	4
26-40 days	66	23.8%	
1-45 days	15	5.4%	Э
6+ days	59	21.3%	
Dutcome of Assessments	No.	%	2
Child In Need - Update Child's Plan	48	17.3%	1
egal Action	0	0.0%	-
lo Further Action	112	40.4%	
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA	74	26.7%	1
Referral to Other Agency	3	1.1%	■ 18
tep Down to Early Help System	32	11.6%	
trategy Discussion	7	2.5%	
Private Fostering Agreement	1	0.4%	
irst Assessment of Referral	218	78.7%	
Assessments by Team	Initial Assess	Other	%
-25 Service	0	5	2%
Outy & Assessment Team 1	53	5	21%
Outy & Assessment Team 2	65	0	23%
Duty & Assessment Team 3	72	3	27%
Duty & Assessment Team 4	3	1	1%
ntervention & Planning Team 1	5	7	4%
Intervention & Planning Team 2	0	2	1%
Intervention & Planning Team 3	0	12	4%
ntervention & Planning Team 4	8	8	6%
ntervention & Planning Team 5	2	6	3%
Intervention & Planning Team 6	1	1	1%
	4	5	3%
ntervention & Planning Team /			1.07
Intervention & Planning Team 7 REACH	0	4	1%








Children in Need




Children in Need




CHILD PROTECTION SERVICE


PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

Category of Abuse	Sep	%	Aug	Jul
Emotional	83	43.9%	82	70
Neglect	65	34.4%	65	55
Physical	28	14.8%	30	28
Sexual	13	6.9%	15	17
Multiple	0	0.0%	0	0
Number of Children Subject to a Child Protection Plan	189	-	192	170
Cumulative Facts & Figures	No.	Rate	No.	No.
Rate per 10,000 u18 Population	19.5	-	19.8	17.5
New Registrations from April 2018 / Rate of 1st Time on Plan	132	-	110	79
Number/Rate of Disabled Children Subject to a CP Plan	11	5.8%	11	11
LAC Subject to a CP Plan	2	1.1%	4	10
De Registrations in the Year to Date from April 2018	104	-	79	70
De-Registrations (after 2 Years) From April 2018	189	181.7%	192	170
Number of Under 5's Subject to a CP Plan (YTD)	70	37.0%	58	55
CP Reviews Completed to Timescale (YTD)	132	100%	127	112





Child Protection



Child Protection

Chart 7 - Number of Children Subject to CP Plan and CiC

Chart 8 - Children Subject to a Subsequent CP Plan (Ever)

12														Cumulativ	e Figures		1		
12 -													Month	17/18	18/19	No's (18/19)			
10 -													Apr	0.0%	35.0%	7	Ger	nder	No's
9 -	†]												Мау	0.0%	16.3%	7	Male	47%	7
8 - 7 -	<u>+</u>						7						Jun	4.8%	12.5%	8	Female	53%	8
, 6 -													Jul	10.0%	15.2%	12	Ag	jes	No's
5	+		N										Aug	10.6%	10.9%	12	U1	0%	0
4 -													Sep	7.9%	13.6%	15	1 to 5	27%	4
3 - 2 -						_					\		Oct	7.0%			6 to 10	27%	4
1													Nov	7.6%			11 to 15	47%	7
0	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Dec	8.0%			16+	0%	0
17/18	· ·	8	4	5	7	6	9	10	7	8	1	0	Jan	8.6%					
		-		-		-	9	10	/	0	1		Feb	11.3%					
■ 18/19	4	0	9	10	4	2							Mar	10.7%					
Data Comme Plans and wh										Targe	t:	Monitor	registrations	ents: There v s this month. A	At a rate of 13	3.6%, the m	easure of	Target :	15.6%
	Latest : 2								2	children subject to a subsequent CP Plan is within the year end target of 15.6%, but almost twice that at this stage last year. We are still lower than our latest statistical neighbour figure of 17.6%.					13.6%				
									Va	iriance	e :	-						Variance :	(12.6%)
										SN	1:							SN :	17.6%

Child Protection


12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 Apr May Jun Aug Oct Nov Dec Feb Mar Jul Sep Jan ■17/18 11 6 6 5 8 2 2 3 3 4 1 4 **18/19** 3 3 3 3 3 5 Data Comments : The number of children subject to a CP Plan Target : Monitor for over 2 years had remained low for almost a year with only Latest : 5 3 children in this category. However this month has seen a further 2 children fall into this category meaning the total is Variance : higher than the same period last year, albeit small numbers. SN: 2.4

Chart 9 - No. of Children Subject to CP Plans for 2+ Years

CHILDREN IN CARE SERVICE

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

Current Legal Status	Sep	%	Aug	Jul
Interim Care Order	56	17.1%	58	52
Full Care Order	131	40.1%	131	131
Section 20	128	39.1%	121	123
Placement Order - In Adoptive Placement	5	1.5%	5	6
Placement Order - Awaiting Placement	6	1.8%	6	5
Police Protection Order - In LA Accommodtion	0	0.0%	0	0
Remanded to LA Accommodation or Youth Detention Accomm	0	0.0%	1	1
Placed in LA Accommodation under PACE, incl Secure Accomm	1	0.3%	1	1
Total Number of Children in Care	327	-	323	319
Facts & Figures	No.	%	No.	No.
Rate of Children in Care per 10,000 u18 Population	33.7	-	33.3	32.9
Number of Children with 3+ Placements / Rate Former NI62 (Cum)	11	3.4%	7	4
Longer Term Stability Measure / Rate Former NI63 (Cum)	87	61.7%	61%	63%
Number/Rate of Children Adopted from Care in Year (Cum)	2	2.4%	2	1
Number/Rate of Children with Special Guardianship Order (Cum)	7	8.3%	7	7
Review Health Assessments Completed within Timescale	98.0%	-	98.0%	96.2%
Number of Children Who Are Privately Fostered	6	-	9	9



Age and Gender Profile of Children in Care Bo ∎Gir



Gender

Male

Ratio of M to F

Female

Unknown

%

40.7%

19.6%

11.0%

7.0%

21.7%

133

64

36

23

71

Characteristics of Children Currently in Care

0-6 Months

6-12 Months

12-18 Months

18-24 Months

Over 24 Months

Time in Care System

%

62.7%

37.3%

0.0%

-

205

122


0

1.68:1

120 -					
100 -					
80 -					
60 -					
40 -					
20 -					
0 -					
0	Under 1	1 to 5	6 to 10	11 to 15	16+
oys	9	20	18	61	97
irls	5	16	18	45	38
nknown	0	0	0	0	0

CHILDREN IN CARE HEALTH SERVICES-ALL CHILDREN IN CARE


PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)




Performance Measures by Service Area


Caseholdir	ng Service	No.	Share	Boys	Girls	In	Out	D
Children in Care		186	56.9%	115	71	71	115	1
Intervention & Pla	nning	56	17.1%	30	26	25	31	
Onwards & Upwar	ds	45	13.8%	31	14	12	33	
0-25 Service		12	3.7%	9	3	2	10	
Duty & Assessmer	nt	14	4.3%	11	3	3	11	
REACH		14	4.3%	9	5	6	8	
Other		0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
All Children n Care	e	327	-	205	122	119	208	
	Неас	dline Me	easure Va	lues				
Dontal	Doptal IHA's PHA's Immunications SDO's							


Dentai	ITTA S	KIIA S	Innunisations	SDQS
88.7%	71.4%	95.3%	89.5%	Avg. 11.4














Review Health Assessments by Service

Immunisations by Service

Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire Scores by Service

CHILDREN IN CARE HEALTH SERVICES-OC2 POPULATION

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)




Review Health Assessments by Service

Immunisations by Service

Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire Scores by Service

Children in Care



Service Area	<1M	2-3M	4-6M	7-12M	13-18M	19-24M	24M+
Children in Care	6	17	22	40	21	17	63
Intervention & Plan.	6	24	14	10	2	0	0
Onwards & Upwards	3	7	10	9	9	2	5
Duty & Assessment	10	4	0	0	0	0	0
0-25 Service	1	1	0	2	3	2	3
Other	0	4	4	3	1	2	0
	26	57	50	64	36	23	71

Chart 2 - Current CiC by Service & Length of Time in Care

Data Comments : Cases held by the CIC Team have fallen by 0.4% when compared to August 2018 and a 10.4% decrease against the same period the previous year. Likewise, I&P and O&U saw their share decrease whilst DATs and 0-25 saw increases with DATs almost doubling.






Chart 3 - Timeliness of Children in Care Visits

Children in Care





 of children to see how many have had a dental check within the last 12 months. This will include children under 5, who, by DfE definitions needs to undergo a gum check and are included in the cohort.
 Latest :
 88.7%

 Variance :
 (6.6%)

SN:

Children in Care

100% 95% 90% 85% 80% 75% 70% 65% 60% 55% 50% Apr | May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar **17/18** 76% 76% 79% 82% 81% 80% 81% 80% 79% 80% 82% 81% 18/19 76% 75% 77% 82% 82% 79% Data Comments : The actual rate of LAC reviews Target : 95.0% completed on time is **97.7%** (performance is showing as 79% as 57 records could not be updated on the Latest 79.3% system, at the point of running this report). The IRO (16.5%) workflow has been amended so that reviews can be Variance recorded more accurately – we would expect this data to start filtering through in the next couple of months

Chart 8 - Percentage of LAC Reviews Completed on Time

Chart 9 - Children in Care Subject to a Section 20

Age Grouping	Boys	Girls	Not Known	Total	Avg Time
Under 1	1	1	0	2	4 wks
1 to 5	4	0	0	4	19.9 wks
6 to 10	1	1	0	2	74.3 wks
11 to 15	21	12	0	33	43.5 wks
16+	73	14	0	87	44.6 wks
Total	100	28	0	128	
Avg Time in Care	48.3 wks	26.0 wks	-		

The Ratio of Boys to Girls in the wider CiC cohort is 1.68 :1 whereas the Ratio for those on a Section 20 Order is 3.57:1

Data Comments : The 11 to 16+ cohort includes 64 of the 67 UASC population (60 boys and 4 girls). All Section 20s under 15 are reviewed 3 monthly by PPP. This is reducing delay on decision making where court proceedings are necessary.

			LON	DO	N B	OROU	GH (DF B	ARNET			
					CICP		NTS SER	VICE				
						(30 Septem	ber 2018)					
All Current Discoments	No.	%	Rate / 10,000 3+ Placements M to F Ratio		M to F Ratio	Start	ers	Leavers	Avg Length of <u>All</u> Placements		No. of UASC	
All Current Placements	327	100%	33.7	11	3.4%	1.68 :1	Month 16	: Year 86	Month 12 : Year 92	1 Year 5 Mo	onths	67
LBB Fostering	121	37.0%					50% 🕇					
Gender			Dur	ation of F	Placemen	t	40%	LBB Fo	stering Ethnicity			
Female	49	40.5%	0-6 Months	4	5	37.2%	40 /0					
Male	72	59.5%	7-12 Months	2	3	19.0%	30%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	ç)	7.4%	20%					
Age			19-24 Months	8	3	6.6%	20%					
Under 1	8	6.6%	2 Years +	3	6	29.8%	10% -				·	
1 to 5	13	10.7%	Average		1 Voa	r 8 Months						
6 to 10	21	17.4%			1160	ii o Months	0% +	Asian	Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	46	38.0%					Number	26	20	27	48	0
16+	33	27.3%					Rate	21%	17%	22%	40%	0%
IFA Fostering 69 21.1%							50% 🕇				1	
Gender			Dur	ation of F	Placemen	t	40% -	IFA Fos	stering Ethnicity			
Female	21	30.4%	0-6 Months	2	0	29.0%	4070					
Male	48	69.6%	7-12 Months	1	2	17.4%	30% -					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	7	7	10.1%	20% -					
Age			19-24 Months	1	0	14.5%						
Under 1	3	4.3%	2 years +	2	0	29.0%	10% -					
1 to 5	13	18.8%	Average		1 Voo	r 8 Months	0% -					
6 to 10	10	14.5%			I Ted	n o monuns		Asian		Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	27	39.1%					Number	19	7	14	28	1
16+	16	23.2%					Rate	28%	10%	20%	41%	1%
Connected Persons	20	6.1%					60% _	0				1
Gender			Dur	ation of F	Placemen	t	50% -	Connec	ted Persons Ethn			
Female	12	60.0%	0-6 Months	e	5	30.0%	40% -					
Male	8	40.0%	7-12 Months	7	7	35.0%	30% -					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	C)	0.0%						
Age			19-24 Months	C)	0.0%	20% -					
Under 1	1	5.0%	2 Years +	7	7	35.0%	10% -					
1 to 5	6	30.0%	Average		2 Vac	c 11 Months	0% -	Acian	Diack	Miyod		Missing
6 to 10	3	15.0%			z rear	s 11 Months	Number	Asiar		Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	8	40.0%					Number	2	3	9	6	0
16+	2	10.0%					Rate	10%	15%	45%	30%	0%


			LON	D 0	N B	OROU	GH (OF B	ARNET			
					CICF	PLACEME	NTS SER	VICE				
						(30 Septem	ber 2018)					
All Current Placements	No.	%	Rate / 10,000	3+ Plac	ements	M to F Ratio	Starters Leav		Leavers	Avg Length of <u>All</u>	Placements	No. of UASC
All current Placements	327	100%	33.7	33.7 11 3.4% 1.68 : 1		Month 16	: Year 86	Month 12 : Year 92	1 Year 5 M	onths	67	
LBB Residential	8	2.4%					70% -	LBB Residential Ethnicity				1
Gender			Dur	ation of I	Placemen	it	60% -			У		
Female	4	50.0%	0-6 Months	Į.	5	62.5%	50% -					
Male	4	50.0%	7-12 Months	(0	0.0%	40% -					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	2	2	25.0%	30% -					
Age			19-29 Months	(0	0.0%	20% -					
Under 1	0	0.0%	2 Years +	:	1	12.5%	10% -		4			
1 to 5	0	0.0%	Average		0 Voa	rs 8 Months	0% -					
6 to 10	0	0.0%			0 Tea		- 0%	Asia	n Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	5	62.5%					Number	1	1	1	5	0
16+	3	37.5%					Rate	13%	13%	13%	63%	0%
External Residential 39 11.9%							60% _					1
Gender			Dur	ation of I	Placemen	it	50% -	Extern	al Residential Eth	nicity		
Female	20	51.3%	0-6 Months	1	.7	43.6%	40% -					
Male	19	48.7%	7-12 Months		7	17.9%						
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	(6	15.4%	30% -					
Age			19-29 Months	4	4	10.3%	20% -			 I	·····	
Under 1	1	2.6%	2 years +	Į.	5	12.8%	10% -		····			
1 to 5	0	0.0%	Average		1 \/	us 1 Mantha	0% -					
6 to 10	2	5.1%			ттеа	rs 1 Months		Asiar		Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	17	43.6%					Number	4	9	6	20	0
16+	19	48.7%			1		Rate	10%	23%	15%	51%	0%
Adoption	5	1.5%					60% –		·····			
Gender			Dur	ation of I	Placemen	it		Adoptio	on Ethnicity			
Female	3	60.0%	0-6 Months	2	2	40.0%	50%					
Male	2	40.0%	7-12 Months	:	1	20.0%	40% -					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	2	2	40.0%	30% -					
Age			19-29 Months	(0	0.0%	20% -					
Under 1	1	20.0%	2 Years +	(0	0.0%						
1 to 5	4	80.0%	Average		0.14		10% -					
6 to 10	0	0.0%			U Yea	rs 9 Months	0% +	Asian	Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	0	0.0%					Number	0	1	3	1	0
16+	0	0.0%			1		Rate	0%	20%	60%	20%	0%


			LON	DOI	ΝB	OROU	GΗ	OFB	ARNET			
					CICF	PLACEME	NTS SEF	RVICE				
						(30 Septem	ber 2018)					
	No.	%	Rate / 10,000	3+ Place	ements	M to F Ratio	Star	arters Leavers		Avg Length of <u>All</u> I	Placements	No. of UASC
All Current Placements	327	100%	33.7	11	3.4%	1.68 : 1	Month 16	: Year 86	Month 12 : Year 92	1 Year 5 Mo	onths	67
Parental	4	1.2%					100%					
Gender			Dur	ation of P	lacemen	it	100%	Parent	al Placement Eth	nicity		
Female	2	50.0%	0-6 Months	1		25.0%	80%					
Male	2	50.0%	7-12 Months	0		0.0%	60%					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	1		25.0%						
Age			19-28 Months	0		0.0%	40%				······	
Under 1	0	0.0%	2 Years +	2		50.0%	20%					
1 to 5	0	0.0%	Average		1 Voo	rs 9 Months						
6 to 10	0	0.0%			1 fea	IS 9 MONUNS	0%	Asiar	n Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	3	75.0%					Number	0	0	0	4	0
16+	1	25.0%					Rate	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Semi Independence 61 18.7%							70%		·····			·i
Gender			Dur	ation of P	lacemen	it	60%	Semi I	ndependance Eth	nicity		
Female	11	18.0%	0-6 Months	37	7	60.7%	50%				 	
Male	50	82.0%	7-12 Months	14	ţ	23.0%	40%		1			
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	9		14.8%	30%				 	
Age			19-24 Months	1		1.6%	20%					
Under 1	0	0.0%	2 years +	0		0.0%						
1 to 5	0	0.0%	Average		0. V.a.a		10%					
6 to 10	0	0.0%			0 rea	rs 5 Months	0%	Asiar	n Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	0	0.0%					Number	27	16	8	9	1
16+	61	100.0%					Rate	44%	26%	13%	15%	2%
On Remand	0	0.0%					100% _]
Gender			Dur	ation of P	lacemen	it	90% -	- On Ren	nand Ethnicity			
Female	0	0.0%	0-6 Months	0		0.0%	80% - 70% -					
Male	0	0.0%	7-12 Months	0		0.0%	60% -					
Unknown	0	0.0%	13-18 Months	0		0.0%	50% -				<u> </u>	
Age			19-24 Months	0		0.0%	40% - 30% -					
Under 1	0	0.0%	2 Years +	0		0.0%	20% -					
1 to 5	0	0.0%	Average			0.001	10% -				 	
6 to 10	0	0.0%				0.0%	0% -	Asian	Black	Mixed	White	Missing
11 to 15	0	0.0%					Number	0	0	0	0	0
16+	0	0.0%					Rate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

UNACCOMPANIED ASYLUM SEEKING CHILDREN (UNDER 18)

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)


Current Number of UASC	67	-
Time in Care (Years)	No.	%
Less than a Year	37	55.2%
1	17	25.4%
2	5	7.5%
3	7	10.4%
4	1	1.5%
Average Time in Care	1 Year	4 Months
Current Placement	No	%
Fostering	35	52.2%
Semi Independence	31	46.3%
Residential	1	1.5%
UASC by Team	No	%
Children In Care Team 1	8	11.9%
Children In Care Team 2	15	22.4%
Children In Care Team 3	8	11.9%
Duty & Assessment Team 1	2	3.0%
Duty & Assessment Team 2	2	3.0%
Duty & Assessment Team 3	2	3.0%
Duty & Assessment Team 4	5	7.5%
Onwards & Upwards	25	37.39
The numbers of UASC has increased this month from 61 Septembert 2018, this is an increase of 24 UASC agains the previous year - and is closer to the threshold than e previous highest figure of UASC ever achieved was 62 ir Rate of UASC who are Male Rate of Males aged 16-17 Rate of UASC placed in Semi Indep. or Fostering	t the same ever before May 2018 e : 94.0% v : 74.6%	period . The





Nationality	Number	Rate
Afgan	27	40.3%
Albanian	10	14.9%
Eritrean	9	13.4%
Vietnamese	6	9.0%
Ethiopian	4	6.0%
Sudanese	4	6.0%
Iranian	2	3.0%
Iraqi	1	1.5%
Kuwaiti	1	1.5%
Palestinian	1	1.5%
Not Recorded	2	3.0%
	67	






CARELEAVERS & 0-25 SERVICES

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (30 September 2018)

Care Leavers	No.	%
Number of Care Leavers by age	245	-
18	93	38.0%
19	51	20.8%
20	61	24.9%
21	17	6.9%
22+	23	9.4%
Care Leavers (18 - 25) in Employment, Education or Training	153	62.4%
Young person engaged in higher education (i.e. beyond A level)	28	18.3%
Young person engaged in education other than higher education	86	56.2%
Young person engaged in training or employment	39	25.5%
Percentage of care leavers who have been in touch in the previous 12 months - Target 90%	229	93.5%
Care leavers (aged 18 - 25) in suitable accommodation	224	91.4%
B - With parents or relatives	13	5.8%
C - Community home or other form of residential care	7	3.1%
D - Semi-independent, transitional accommodation	28	12.5%
E - Supported lodgings	9	4.0%
T - Foyers	7	3.1%
U - Independent living	88	39.3%
V - Emergency accommodation (Postcode In Barnet n = 21)	48	21.4%
Y - Other accommodation	4	1.8%
Z - With Former foster carers/Staying Put	20	8.9%
Number of Care Leavers at University	23	-







83%

82%

76%

75%

71%

85%

82%

83%

17/18

■18/19

79%

89%

81%

86%

88%

85%


84%

85%


79%

84%







Youth Offending




Youth Offending



September 2018 Quality Assurance Dashboard





		Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	Apr-19
	Good	0	0	0							
Early Help	RI	1	0	3							
	Inadequate	0	0	0							
	Good	4	2	5							
Duty and Assessment	RI	9	9	8							
	Inadequate	1	0	2							
Internetion and	Good	2	1	1							
Intervention and Planning	RI	10	7	7							
	Inadequate	1	4	2							
	Good	0	0	0							
REACH	RI	0	0	1							
	Inadequate	0	0	0							
CP - Children in	Good	1	1	2							
CP - Children In Care	RI	2	0	0							
	Inadequate	0	0	0							
CP - Adoption and	Good	0	1	0							
Post Permanence	RI	0	0	0							
	Inadequate		0	0							
Placements and	Good	0	0	0							
Disabilities	RI	1	2	0							
	Inadequate	0	0	0							
CP - Onwards and	Good	0	0	1							
Upwards	RI	1	2	0							
	Inadequate	0	0	0							
	TOTAL	33	29	32							

Service Area - Audit Gradings Breakdown - Regular and Annex A Audits

September 2018 Quality Assurance Dashboard

Domain Outcome- Combined Assessments

	Go	od	R	RI Inade		quate	Not Graded		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Jul-18	12	43%	13	46%	3	11%	0	0%	28
Aug-18	9	36%	12	48%	4	16%	0	0%	25
Sep-18	10	34%	16	55%	3	10%	0	0%	29

Domain Outcome - Management Oversight

		Go	od	RI		Inadequate		Not Graded		Total
_		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
J	Jul-18	7	21%	23	70%	3	9%	0	0%	33
А	ug-18	6	22%	14	52%	7	26%	0	0%	27
Se	ep-18	9	29%	16	52%	6	19%	0	0%	31

Domain Outcome - CPC, Plan and core group meetings

	Go	od	R	RI		Inadequate		Not Graded	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Jul-18	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	6
Aug-18	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Sep-18	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2

Domain Outcome - CIN

	Go	od	R	RI		Inadequate		Not Graded	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Jul-18	1	10%	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%	10
Aug-18	0	0%	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	6
Sep-18	1	13%	4	50%	3	38%	0	0%	8

Domain Outcome - CiC

	Go	od	RI		Inadequate		Not Graded		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Jul-18	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Aug-18	1	20%	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	5
Sep-18	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	4

N/A
No.
5
4
3

N/A
No.
0
2
1

N/A
No.
27
25
30

N/A
No.
23
23
24

N/A
No.
32
24
28